UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,751	06/11/2007	Murray Edward Bruce Leighton	769-400	3250
51468 DAY PITNEY	7590 10/14/200 LLP	EXAMINER		
	LINOIS TOOL WORI	GERRITY, STEPHEN FRANCIS		
7 TIMES SQUA NEW YORK, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/593,751 LEIGHTON BRUCE		LEIGHTON, MURRA BRUCE	AY EDWARD	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Stephen F. Gerrity	3721		

	Stephen F. Gerrity	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of Arreplies: (1) an amendment, affidaving (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ul>		in the final rejection, which	chever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	f).		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	and the time period set forth in or	or it inor (a).	
	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or		atad alaima	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a (	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	OA Oar affact at Nation of Nam Oar	!'	DTOL 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpilant Amendment (I	210L-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ina alvetila de ana an dua an	ot aanaalina tha
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	-
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-11 and 14-21</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Anneal will not	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.			
	/Stephen F. Gerrity/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3721	
	,		

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

the 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejection made in the previous Office action.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

applicant's arguments regarding the rejections under 35 USC 103(a) made in the previous Office action are noted but deemed unpersuasive for the reasons already of record (see the "Response to Arguments" beginning at page 12).

The rejections which would be applied against the claims are as follows, and these rejections would need to be responded to and argued if the applicant decides to file a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief:

claims 1, 5-9, 14, 15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leighton (GB 2,349,603) in view of Buchman (US 6,588,176) for the reasons set forth beginning at page 4, paragraph 8;

claims 2, 3 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art for the reasons set forth beginning at page 9, paragraph 9; and

claims 10, 11, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art for the reasons set forth beginning at page 10, paragraph 10.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen F. Gerrity whose telephone number is (571) 272-4460. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:30-6:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.